

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,182	Applicant(s) WATANABE ET AL.	
	Examiner Holly Rickman	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Lyle Kimms.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:


13-15

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Exr indicated that claims 14-15 would be rejoined and allowed with elected article claims 1-12. Mr. Kimms agreed to an examiner's amendment to claim 14 to correct a minor informality and to the cancellation of claim 13
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)